
Decision Maker: PUBLIC PROTECTION AND ENFORCEMENT POLICY
DEVELOPMENT & SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

Date: Tuesday 19th March 2024

Decision Type: Urgent Non-Urgent Executive Non-Executive Key Non-Key

Title: PP&E RISK REGISTER

Contact Officer: Lucy West, Head of Performance Management & Business Support
Tel: 020 8461 7726 E-mail: Lucy.West@bromley.gov.uk

Chief Officer: Director of Environment and Public Protection

Ward: (All Wards);

1. Reason for decision/report and options

- 1.1 This report presents the revised Public Protection and Enforcement Risk Register for detailed scrutiny by the PDS Committee.
- 1.2 This appended Risk Register also forms part of the Annual Governance Statement evidence-base and has been reviewed by: E&PP DMT, Corporate Risk Management Group; and Audit Sub-Committee.

2. **RECOMMENDATION(S)**

That the Public Protection and Enforcement PDS Committee reviews and comments on the appended Risk Register. It should be noted that each risk has been highlighted as being relevant to one committee only (and therefore should be discussed at the relevant meeting).

Impact on Vulnerable Adults and Children

1. Summary of Impact: The appended Risk Register covers services provided by the E&PP Department and some borough-wide risks. Addressing the impact of service provision on vulnerable adults and children is a matter for the relevant procurement strategies, contracts and service delivery rather than this high-level Risk Register report.
-

Transformation Policy

1. Policy Status: Not Applicable
 2. Making Bromley Even Better Priority (*delete as appropriate*):
 - (1) For children and young people to grow up, thrive and have the best life chances in families who flourish and are happy to call Bromley home.
 - (2) For adults and older people to enjoy fulfilled and successful lives in Bromley, ageing well, retaining independence and making choices.
 - (3) For people to make their homes in Bromley and for business, enterprise and the third sector to prosper.
 - (4) For residents to live responsibly and prosper in a safe, clean and green environment great for today and a sustainable future.
 - (5) To manage our resources well, providing value for money, and efficient and effective services for Bromley's residents.
-

Financial

1. Cost of proposal: Not Applicable:
 2. Ongoing costs: Not Applicable:
 3. Budget head/performance centre: Public Protection and Enforcement Portfolio
 4. Total current budget for this head: £4.2m
 5. Source of funding: Existing controllable revenue budget 2023/24
-

Personnel

1. Number of staff (*current and additional*): 47.3 FTE
 2. If from existing staff resources, number of staff hours: Not Applicable
-

Legal

1. Legal Requirement: Non-Statutory - Government Guidance
 2. Call-in: Not Applicable:
-

Procurement

1. Summary of Procurement Implications: Risk management contributes to contract management and good governance.
-

Property

1. Summary of Property Implications: Not Applicable.
-

Carbon Reduction and Social Value

1. Summary of Carbon Reduction/Sustainability Implications: Not Applicable.
-

Impact on the Local Economy

1. Summary of Local Economy Implications: Not Applicable.
-

Impact on Health and Wellbeing

1. Summary of Health and Wellbeing Implications: Not Applicable.
-

Customer Impact

1. Estimated number of users or customers (*current and projected*): Not Applicable.
-

Ward Councillor Views

1. Have Ward Councillors been asked for comments? Not Applicable
2. Summary of Ward Councillors comments: Not Applicable.

3. COMMENTARY

- 3.1 The Council's aims are set out in [Making Bromley Even Better Corporate Strategy](#) and the Portfolio Plans, and a risk can be defined as anything which could negatively affect the associated outcomes. Some level of risk will be associated with any service provision: the question is how best to manage that risk down to an acceptable level? (this is known as our 'risk appetite')
- 3.2 It follows that the Council should be able to clearly and regularly detail the main departmental risks and related mitigation measures to ensure a) that desired outcomes are achieved and b) to allow for Member scrutiny – the purpose of this report.
- 3.3 Although the appended E&PP Risk Register is comprehensive, departmental risk management activity is certainly not exclusive to this report. For instance:
- major programmes and services will have associated Risk Registers (such registers are reviewed by the relevant Programme / Service Boards);
 - financial risk is addressed in each Portfolio's Budget Monitoring Reports and, more generally, in the Council's Annual Financial Strategy Report;
 - audit risk is captured through the Audit Programme's planned and investigative activity and associated reports and management action requirements;
 - contract risk forms part of the Contracts Database (all contracts are now quantified and ranked according to the risk presented to the Council). The new Environmental Services Contract, therefore, appears both in this Risk Register and the Corporate Contracts Register, due to its size and complexity.
- 3.4 In 2016/17 Zurich Municipal (the Council's insurer) undertook a 'check and challenge' review (involving all management teams) of the Council's general approach and the individual risks. This resulted a new-style of register and a greater consistency of approach across the Council. Zurich attended during 2018/19 to repeat this exercise with all E&PP risk owners.
- 3.5 It was agreed that Risk Registers should be presented to each Departmental Management Team, the relevant PDS committee, and Audit Sub-Committee (now Audit and Risk Management Committee) twice a year (minimum) to allow activity to be scrutinised in a regular and systematic manner. Individual risks should naturally be reviewed (by Risk Owners) at a frequency proportionate to the risk presented.
- 3.6 In addition to its use for management and reporting purposes, the Risk Register also forms part of E&PP's evidence-base for contributing to the Council's Annual Governance Statement (which, itself, forms part of the Council's end-of-year management procedures).
- 3.7 Risks from all three departments are considered at the (officer) Corporate Risk Management Group (CRMG), which reviewed all the Risk Registers when it last met on 22nd January 2024.
- 3.8 At the time of writing, the Council has 126 individual risks (111 departmental plus 15, high-level, Corporate Risks (covering key risks which apply to the Council as a whole).
- 3.9 E&PP Department currently has 24 risks (~19% of the Council's total). The PP&E Portfolio currently has 16 risks.
- 3.10 The PP&E Risk Register Matrix is summarised in the appendix. Each risk is scored using a combination of the 'likelihood' (definite to remote) and 'impact' (insignificant to catastrophic) to produce a 'gross rating' (prior to controls) and 'net rating' (post management controls).

- 3.11 The risks (including causes and effects) are described in more detail in the appended Risk Register. Each risk is assigned a category (Compliance & Regulation, Finance, Service Delivery, Reputation and Health & Safety) and scored – using a combination of the ‘likelihood’ and ‘impact’ both being assessed on a scale of 1-5 – to produce a gross risk score.
- 3.12 Current controls designed to mitigate the risk are also listed and these, in turn, generally result in a (lower) current risk score. Finally, additional actions are listed for the Risk Owner to consider to further reduce the level of risk (commensurate with their risk appetite). Risk Ownership will be regularly reviewed and adjusted in light of any changes to the LBB Corporate Leadership Team structure.
- 3.13 The PP&E Risk Register was presented at the January 2024 Committee. Key changes to the register since then are as follows:

- **Score Changes:**

- There have been no score changes to the register.

- **Current Red Risk Ratings:**

- Risk 14 (Coroners Service) has a Current Risk Rating of 20, which is red. The increased costs for Coroners Service is due to the additional estimated costs due to additional high risk post mortems resultant of COVID, and further requested changes to the service that fall outside of the memorandum of understanding. The Director of Environment and Public Protection has challenged the appropriateness of the required spend for this service to mitigate the risk.

- **Gross Red Risk Ratings:**

- Risk 15 (Dysfunctionality of the Uniform Information Management System) has a Gross Risk Rating of 20 which is red. A new case management system has been resourced and the onboarding of the system is underway and on course to be fully implemented in September 2024. Hence the current risk rating of 12, which is amber after mitigation.

- **Addition of New Risks:** There have been no additional risks to the register.

- **Removal of Risks:** There have been no risks removed from the register.

4. IMPACT ON VULNERABLE ADULTS AND CHILDREN

- 4.1 The appended Risk Register covers environmental services, which tend to be universal in nature, rather than being specifically directed towards vulnerable adults and children.

5. TRANSFORMATION/POLICY IMPLICATIONS

The Council’s renewed policy ambition for the borough is set out in [Making Bromley Even Better Corporate Strategy](#) and the various Portfolio Plans. Risk Registers help to deliver these policy aims by identifying issues which could impact on ‘ensuring good contract management to ensure value-for-money and quality services’ and putting in place mitigation measures to reduce risk and help deliver the policy aims and objectives.

6. FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no direct financial implications arising from this report, however the Risk Register does identify areas that could have financial risks.

7. PERSONNEL IMPLICATIONS

There are no direct personnel implications, but the Risk Register does identify service areas where recruitment and capacity present challenges (e.g. Staff Resourcing and Capability).

8. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

There are no direct legal implications, but the Risk Register does identify some regulatory and legal issues: e.g. compliance with Health & Safety law and Industrial Action.

Non-Applicable Sections:	None
Background Documents: (Access via Contact Officer)	None

9. PROCUREMENT IMPLICATIONS

Contract and hence procurement risk is mainly captured in the Contracts Database and Contracts Register Report rather than this Risk Register Report.

10. PROPERTY IMPLICATIONS

There are no direct property implications, but the Risk Register does identify service areas where Property present challenges.

11. CARBON REDUCTION/SOCIAL VALUE IMPLICATIONS

There are no direct carbon reduction/social value implications, but the Risk Register does identify service areas where carbon reduction and social values are reviewed (e.g. Climate Change).

12. IMPACT ON THE LOCAL ECONOMY

There are no direct impacts on the local economy.

13. IMPACT ON HEALTH AND WELLBEING

There are no direct impacts on health and wellbeing.

14. CUSTOMER IMPACT

There are no direct customer impacts.

15. WARD COUNCILLOR VIEWS

There are no direct Ward Councillor views.

Appendix 1: Heat Map and Risk Matrix

Risk Matrix

Risk No.	Risk Ref	Risk Description	Gross Risk Rating	Current Risk Rating
1	1	Emergency Response	12	9
2	2	Central Depot Access	12	9
3	4	Business Continuity Arrangements	12	9
4	14	Income Variation (Highways and Parking)	9	6
5	18	Town Centre Markets	12	6
6	20	Staff Resourcing and Capability	12	9
7	22	Climate Change	12	8
8	29	Out of Hours Noise Service	4	4
9	30	Integrated Offender Management post:	9	4
10	31	Community Impact Day Co-ordinator post:	9	4
11	32	Serious Youth Violence & Gangs Officer post	9	4
12	33	The provision of 24/7 CCTV Monitoring	12	3
13	34	Loss of Income from Licensed Premises Fees	6	4
14	37	Increased Costs for Coroners Service	20	20
15	39	Dysfunctionality of Uniform Information Management System	20	12
16	42	Health & Safety (PP&E)	12	8

Public Protection and Enforcement (PP&E) Risk Register

Current Risk
Rating Heat
Map

